UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOS	E DIVISION
SHANNON CAMPBELL,) Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK
Plaintiff,) 13-CV-00233-LHK
v. FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and MICHAEL STUART,	VERDICT FORM)
Defendants.)) _)
MARK ENNIS,)
Plaintiff, v.))
FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL STUART,

Defendants.

Dated: February 23, 2015

LUCY H. KOH

United States District Judge

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK

VERDICT FORM

1	When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous.					
2	Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of					
3	any legal term that appears in the questions below. For each Yes or No question, please circle your answer.					
4	aliswe					
5	under	We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.	m			
6 7	Shann	on Campbell – Ralph Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7				
8	1.	Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Ralph Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?				
10		Yes No				
11 12	2.	Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Ralph Act violation as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?				
13		Yes No				
14	Mark	Ennis – Ralph Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7				
15 16	3.	Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Ralph Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Ma Ennis?	ark			
17		Yes No				
18 19	4.	Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Ralph Act violation as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?				
20		Yes No				
21	Shanr	on Campbell – Bane Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1				
22 23	5.	Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Bane Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?				
24		Yes No				
25	_					
26	6.	If you answered Yes to Question 5, please identify the number of Bane Act violations for which Feld Entertainment, Inc. is liable.	•			
27						
28						

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK VERDICT FORM

1	7.	Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Bane Act violation as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?		
2		Yes No		
3	Mords			
4	Mark	Ennis – Bane Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1		
5	8.	Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Bane Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Mark		
6		Ennis?		
7		Yes No		
8	9.	9. If you answered Yes to Question 8, please identify the number of Bane Act violations for which Feld Entertainment, Inc. is liable.		
9				
10 11	10	Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Bane Act violation as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?		
12		Yes No		
13	Shanr	on Campbell – Battery		
14	1.1			
15	11	1. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a battery or batteries as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?		
16				
17		Yes No		
18	12	If you answered Yes to Question 11, was Feld Entertainment, Inc., through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment, acting in self-defense?		
19 20		Yes No		
21	13	Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a battery as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?		
22		Yes No		
23	14	. If you answered Yes to Question 13, was Michael Stuart acting in self-defense?		
24		Yes No		
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK

VERDICT FORM

Sl

Mark Ennis – Battery				
V	15. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a battery or batteries as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?			
3	Yes	No		
e	16. If you answered Yes to Question 15, was Feld Entertainment, Inc., through the actions of employees while acting within the scope of their employment, acting in self-defense on August 7, 2012, in Oakland, California?			
<u> </u>	Yes	No		
17. I	17. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a battery as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?			
7	Yes	No		
18. I	f you answere	ed Yes to Question 17, was Michael Stuart acting in self-defense?		
3	Yes	No		
Shanno	n Campbell -	- Assault		
v	19. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for an assault(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?			
7	Yes	No		
20. I	20. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for an assault as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?			
3	Yes	No		

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK

VERDICT FORM

Shannon Campbell – Damages				
Answer the questions below if you answered Yes to any of the following: Questions 1, 2, 5, 7, 11 (only if you also answered No to Question 12), 13 (only if you also answered No to				
Question 14), 19, or 20. If you did not, proceed below to the section entitled "Mark Ennis – Damages."				
21. What amount, if any, do you award Shannon Campbell as damages?				
\$				
Mark Ennis – Damages				
Answer the questions below if you answered Yes to any of the following: Questions 3, 4, 8, 10 15 (only if you also answered No to Question 16), or 17 (only if you also answered No to Question 18). If you did not, stop here and have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form.				
22. What amount, if any, do you award Mark Ennis as damages?				
\$				
You have now reached the end of this Verdict Form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date				
the Verdict Form in the spaces below and notify the Bailiff that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the Verdict Form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the space of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brounded in the verdict form and bring it when the properties of the verdict form and bring it when the properties of the verdict form and the verdict form a				
back into the courtroom.				
DATED:, 2015 By:				
Presiding Juror				

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK

VERDICT FORM